Ç	ase $5:14$ -cv- 00029 -JGB-DTB Document 1 Filed $01/07/14$ Page 1 of 14 Page ID $\#:13$
	FILED
1	Amir J. Goldstein, Esq. (SBN 255620) aig@consumercounselgroup.com 2014 IAN -7
2	5455 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1812
3	Los Angeles, CA 90036 Tel 323.937.0400 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
4	Fax 866.288.9194
5	Attorney for Plaintiff
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	COLLEEN GORDON,) CASE NO.: EXXVI4-000 29-JGB
10) (DTB)
11	Plaintiff,)
12	v.) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
13	PACIFIC ACQUISITIONS &)
14	ASSOCIATES, LLC and DOES 1 through) 10 inclusive,
15	Defendants.
16	Detendants.)
17	Plaintiff, by and through her attorney, Amir J. Goldstein, Esq., as and for her complaint
18	against the Defendant PACIFIC ACQUISITIONS & ASSOCIATES, LLC alleges as follows:
19	against the Defendant PACIFIC ACQUISITIONS & ASSOCIATION, DEC MAGGE MAGG
20	INTRODUCTION
21	This is an action for damages brought by an individual for the Defendant's
22	violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
23	("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California
24	
25	Civil Code § 1788, et seq. ("Rosenthal Act") which prohibits debt collectors
26	from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
27	
28	

Complaint for Damages

PARTIES

Plaintiff is a natural person residing in San Jose, California.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

 Upon information and belief, the Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), incorporated under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Mira Loma, California.

JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k (FDCPA) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c), as the Defendant resides and regularly conduct business in this district.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 4 as if fully restated herein.
- That a personal debt was allegedly incurred by the Plaintiff to one Plains Commerce Bank.
- That at a time unknown to Plaintiff herein, the aforementioned debt was referred and/or assigned to Defendant for collection.
- That on or about November 2013 through on or about December 2013,
 Defendant's agents called Plaintiff incessantly in an attempt to collect a debt.
- That on or about November 12, 2013, Defendant's agent, one "Miles Gordon" called Plaintiff from 951.547.5480, a number that, upon information and belief, belongs to Defendant.
- 10. That Defendant informed Plaintiff that the phone call was regarding "file #1706."
- 11. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.

- That Plaintiff, feeling anxious and confused, returned Defendant's phone call and spoke to Defendant's agent.
- That Defendant's agent "Miles Gordon" demanded an immediate payment from Plaintiff via credit card.
- 14. That Plaintiff told Defendant that she needed to time to verify the debt and requested validation of the debt from Defendant.
- 15. That in response, Defendant informed Plaintiff that he could not provide her with anything in writing, told her to contact the creditor and gave her a phone number to call.
- 16. That Defendant's agent deceptively advised Plaintiff that there was no way for her to get out of the debt and that the statute of limitations would not apply to her account.
- 17. That Defendant threatened Plaintiff with court documents and warned her that she would be served at her place of employment.
- 18. That Defendant, to further intimidate Plaintiff, informed Plaintiff that her credit reports had been requested and pulled by Defendant.
- 19. That on or about November 13, 2013, Defendant called Plaintiff at her place of employment as well as on her cell phone in an attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 20. That Defendant left Plaintiff a voice message which stated in pertinent part:

"Colleen. This is Miles calling you from Pacific Acquisitions...I need you to contact me ASAP... if I don't hear back from you, my hands are tied. They're going to go ahead and move forward..."

- 21. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.
- 22. That Plaintiff returned Defendant's call and requested that any communications be memorialized in writing, at which point Defendant agreed to e-mail Plaintiff.

27

28

- 23. That on or about November 14, 2013, Plaintiff contacted Defendant's agent to inform him that she hadn't received anything from Defendant through e-mail.
- 24. That Defendant warned Plaintiff that the matter must be resolved by 12:00 noon that day, otherwise, Plaintiff would be served with papers.
- 25. That just a few hours later, Defendant contacted Plaintiff again to advise her that he was doing his best to "hold off the Legal Administrator" from serving her with legal documents.
- 26. That approximately two hours later, another one of Defendant's agents, one "Tom Bolin" (sp) contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the aforementioned debt.
- 27. That in an effort to scare, abuse and pressure Plaintiff into making a payment, Defendant's agent became aggressive with her and demanded that Plaintiff take care of the matter by the end of business day.
- 28. That approximately two and a half hours later, Defendant's agent, Mr. Gordon, called Plaintiff again, urged her to handle the matter that day in order to avoid legal action and left her the following voice message which stated in pertinent part:

"Colleen. I don't know what happened with you and the legal administrator here...if I don't hear back from you in the hour, go ahead and check your email and he'll go ahead and get you out the suit information....I don't know what happened, but you know, he's ready to go ahead and basically show you that he means business...He'll send you a copy of the suit and we'll go from there."

- 29. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.
- 30. That one hour later, Defendant's agent, Mr. Bolin, called Plaintiff, became verbally violent with her and angrily accused her of wasting his time.
- 31. That Mr. Bolin deceptively denied having any communication with Mr. Gordon.

- That Defendant's agent Mr. Bolin warned Plaintiff that she in fact would be served.
- 33. That Defendant's agents engaged in a pattern of repeated phone calls to Plaintiff throughout the day in an effort to abuse, scare and harass Plaintiff.
- 34. That on or about November 15, 2013, Defendant's agent, to coerce immediate payment from her, advised Plaintiff that she would be served with legal documents and to expect them by the following Monday.
- 35. That on or about November 18, 2013, (that following Monday) Defendant's agent, Mr. Bolin, spoke with Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the aforementioned debt.
- 36. That in said communication, Defendant's agent called Plaintiff a liar and angrily accused her of wasting his time.
- 37. That Defendant's agent further reiterated that Plaintiff was involved in lawsuit where she would be responsible for the legal fees and costs and that she could avoid legal action if she resolved the matter by that same day.
- 38. That on or about November 18, 2013, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt and left her a voice message which stated in pertinent part:
 - "... I've been in this business a long time and I can count on one hand the number of times I've had somebody just blatantly be dishonest with me and waste my time. I've had people just flat out refuse to resolve issues with us, I've had people do a number of things that would be considered inappropriate, but just out right lying and having me sending emails and faxes and all this different type of thing one hand and I've been in this business nearly 35 years...
 - "...I'm going to be preparing the lawsuit this morning. I'm going to be sending you the civil codes, one of which is CCP 1033 related to litigation and costs. The other is going to be... the CCP statutes regarding what constitutes an agreement. You don't have to sign anything.
 - "...we are going to enforce that agreement once I file the lawsuit meaning that I've paid the fees to the superior court then you're

going to have to pay the entire amount of the settlement plus costs...

- "...so look for those documents before noon..."
- 39. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.
- 40. That on or about December 19, 2013, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt and left her a voice message which stated in pertinent part:
 - "... wanted to let you know that the lawsuit that we had discussed and that I had sent you a copy of is scheduled to be filed in the Santa Clara County Superior Court, downtown San Jose early next week...it will be going out for service once the court gives the process server the conformed copies...its going to be served at your business address...
- 41. That Defendant's agent, to further confuse, intimidate, mislead and scare Plaintiff, warned her in pertinent part:
 - "...the attorney service or the process server may be in touch with you to determine whether or not you want to accept service personally when he gets there or ...as opposed to having him attempting to serve you personally, so that means looking for you and then ultimately sub-serving it with one of the employees or HR or whatever so that's something that you need to work out with them, but I have told, in this case as I do with every case for them to contact you and at least give you that option.
 - "...there really is no excuse here..."
 - "... Keep in mind that once the complaint is filed and you're served there is no settlement so that means you are going to pay the full amount on the lawsuit, plus our costs plus process server fee, so if you want to discuss this, now is the time to do it because I won't be discussing it with you after next week. My number here is 855-715-7568 ext 210."
- 42. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.

- 43. That on or about December 13, 2013 through on or about December 20, 2013, Plaintiff received several phone calls from a person who purported to be one "Chris," Defendant's process server.
- 44. That "Chris" continuously called Plaintiff from a blocked phone number at her place of employment and would refuse to leave a message or a call back number.
- 45. That upon information and belief, the alleged process server acted as Defendant's agent and contacted Plaintiff multiple times in an attempt to abuse, intimidate and pressure Plaintiff into making a payment.
- 46. That once Plaintiff was able to speak with "Chris" directly, he warned her that papers would be filed and that he would be at her office.
- 47. That Chris, in an attempt to further pressure Plaintiff, continued to call Plaintiff to set up a time when he could meet her and serve her.
- 48. That on or about December 23, 2013, Defendant called Plaintiff's phone multiple times in the span of three minutes and left her a voice message which stated in pertinent part:
 - "You sound so nice and courteous on that voicemail. I'm hoping that you will allow the court to experience the real you at trial...
 - "...If anybody should be offended, its me with your behavior...
 - "...But here's the deal we're going to have to send a representative over perhaps I myself might attend the trial up there in your neck of the woods. We are going to ask the Court to award any expenses that we incur as a result of wasting our time over this frivolous matter that you entered into...in addition to the Court's wasted time...
 - "...so we'll look forward to seeing you and as I said I hope that you behave in the manner that is most reflective of your real behavior pattern or your real personality as opposed to the nice greeting on your voicemail and the way that you attempted to get me to do the things you wanted me to do earlier on. Good luck to you."

- 49. That Defendant's agent did not meaningfully identify himself as a representative of a debt collection agency, nor did he make the proper disclosures as required by law.
- 50. That as a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including, but not limited to: stress, anxiety, extreme humiliation and sleepless nights.
- 51. That Defendant's communications caused Plaintiff to feel physically ill, so much so that she had to pull herself away from her work.
- 52. That Defendant, in an attempt to collect a debt, engages in a pattern or practice of communicating with consumers where the representations made by the Defendant are confusing, misleading, deceptive and/or unfair.
- 53. That Defendant's conduct violates 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq., including but not limited to subsections (d), (e) and (g) in that the representations made by the Defendant are false, confusing, misleading, deceptive, harassing, unfair and fail to advise the consumer of her legal rights as required by law.
 - Defendant has violated § 1692d(5) by causing Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly and continuously with intent to annoy, abuse or harass Plaintiff at the called number(s);
 - Defendant has violated §1692d(6) by placing telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity;
 - Defendant has violated §1692e by employing deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt;
 - Defendant has violated §1692e(2) by falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt;
 - Defendant has violated §1692e(11) by failing to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector; and
 - Defendant has violated §1692g by using overshadowing language to confuse the least sophisticated consumer as to her legal rights.

26

27

78

54. That as per 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. and as a result of the above violations, the Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for actual damages and statutory damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial but not less that \$1,000.00 per violation, plus costs and attorney's fees.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF

- 55. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 54 as if fully restated herein.
- 56. The Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (Rosenthal Act), California Civil Code § 1788, et seq., prohibits unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the collection of consumer debts.
- 57. By its acts and practices as hereinabove described, the Defendant has violated the Rosenthal Act as follows, without limitation:
 - By causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy,
 Defendant has violated §1788.11(d);
 - By communicating with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute harassment, Defendant has violated §1788.11(e);
 - iii. By failing to provide accurate disclosures as required by law; and
 - By the above-referenced violations of the FDCPA, Defendant has violated §1788.17.
- 58. Pursuant to § 1788.30 of the Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is entitled to recover her actual damages sustained as a result of the Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Act. Such damages include, without limitation, statutory damages, any actual damages sustained, other resulting monetary losses and damages, and emotional distress suffered by Plaintiff, which damages are in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 59. In addition, because the Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Act were committed willingly and knowingly, Plaintiff is entitled to recover, in addition to

her actual damages, penalties of at least \$1,000 per violation as provided for in the Act.

 Pursuant to § 1788.30(c) Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred in the bringing of this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant in the amount of:

- (a) Statutory damages and actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k in an amount to be determined at the time of trial on the first cause of action.
- (b) Statutory damages and actual damages pursuant to Civil Code §1788.30 et seq., as to the second cause of action.
- (c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and Civil Code §1788.30, et seq.
 - (d) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
 - (e) Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: January 6, 2014

AMIR J. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.

Amir J. Goldstein

Attorney for Plaintiff

5455 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1812

Los Angeles, CA 90036

Tel 323.937.0400

Fax 866.288.9194

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

Th	is case has been as	signed to District Judge	_	Jesus G. Ber	nal	and the assigned
Magistrate	Judge is	David T. Bristow				
	The case nu	umber on all documents filed	with the (Court shoul	d read as follo	ws:
		EDCV14-00029	-JGB (D	TBx)	_	
		Order 05-07 of the United St dge has been designated to h				District of
Al	l discovery related	motions should be noticed o	n the cale	ndar of the	Magistrate Ju	dge.
			Cla	el- II S Di	strict Court	
			Cie	IK, U. S. DI	strict Court	
-	January 7, 2014 Date	_	Ву	MDAVIS Deputy Cle	erk	
	Date					
		NOTICE TO	COUNSI	EL		
		served with the summons and ust be served on all plaintiffs).		ıt on all dej	endants (if a r	emoval action is
Subseque	nt documents mu	st be filed at the following le	ocation:			
31	estern Division 2 N. Spring Street, C s Angeles, CA 90012		St., Ste 105	53	Eastern Divisi 3470 Twelfth Riverside, CA	Street, Room 134
Failure to	file at the proper	location will result in your	documen	ts being re	turned to you	ě

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one X 1. Original Proceeding 2. Remov State C V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite to	ress and Telephon the same informa Angeles, CA 90036 I (Place an X in or 3. Federal Qu Government 4. Diversity (In of Parties in In the box only.) red from Court INT: JURY DEF	ne box only.) Juestion (U.S. Not a Party) Indicate Citizenship tem III) 3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes Yes	Citize Citize Citize Foreig	County of Reside (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS. Attorneys (Firm No representing yours ITIZENSHIP OF PR (Place an X in one boo n of This State n of Another State n or Subject of a gn Country einstated or State of Check "Yes" or MONEY DEMA	INCIPAL PARTIES-For Discreption of Business in Art	e Number) If you a mation. iversity Cases Onlefendant) Principal Place his State ad Principal Place nother State Multi-District tigation plaint.)	y PTE DEF 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Addrespresenting yourself, provide to Amir J. Goldstein, Esq. The Law Offices of Amir J. Goldstein 5455 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1812, Los J. Tel: 323.937.0400 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one State Company of State Compan	ress and Telephon the same informa Angeles, CA 90036 I (Place an X in or 3. Federal Qu Government 4. Diversity (In of Parties in In the box only.) red from Court INT: JURY DEF	ne box only.) Justion. Justion (U.S. Not a Party) Indicate Citizenship tem III) Justion (Brown Appellate Court MAND: Yes Yes Yes Yes	Citize Citize Citize Foreig	Attorneys (Firm No representing yours TTIZENSHIP OF PR (Place an X in one book of Another State on of Another State on or Subject of a gen Country einstated or of Check "Yes" or MONEY DEMA	INCIPAL PARTIES-For Dix for plaintiff and one for de for plaintiff and one for de for de form of Business in Ar and Business in	e Number) If you a mation. iversity Cases Onlefendant) Principal Place his State ad Principal Place nother State Multi-District tigation plaint.)	y PTE DEF 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Addressering yourself, provide to Amir J. Goldstein, Esq. The Law Offices of Amir J. Goldstein 5455 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1812, Los J. Tel: 323.937.0400 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one State Company of	the same information Angeles, CA 90036 I (Place an X in or Government 4. Diversity (In of Parties in It less than 1) be box only.) ved from Court INT: JURY DEF	ne box only.) Juestion (U.S. Not a Party) Indicate Citizenship tern III) 3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes X No e under which you are	Citize Citize Citize Foreig	Attorneys (Firm No representing yours ITIZENSHIP OF PR (Place an X in one book of the property of the propert	INCIPAL PARTIES-For Discreption of Business in Art	iversity Cases Onlefendant) Principal Place nis State ad Principal Place nother State Multi- District tigation S	y PTE DEF 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
representing yourself, provide to Amir J. Goldstein, Esq. The Law Offices of Amir J. Goldstein, 5455 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1812, Los J. Tel: 323.937.0400 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one State Company of State C	the same information Angeles, CA 90036 I (Place an X in or Government 4. Diversity (In of Parties in It less than 1) be box only.) ved from Court INT: JURY DEF	ne box only.) Juestion (U.S. Not a Party) Indicate Citizenship tern III) 3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes X No e under which you are	Citize Citize Citize Foreig	representing yours ITIZENSHIP OF PR (Place an X in one boy n of This State on of Another State on or Subject of a grace Country of Check "Yes" or MONEY DEMA	INCIPAL PARTIES-For Dix for plaintiff and one for de for DEF incorporated or of Business in the company of Business in Ar Business in Ar 3	iversity Cases Onlefendant) Principal Place nis State ad Principal Place nother State Multi- District tigation S	y PTE DEF 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one X 1. Original Proceeding 2. Remov State C V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite to	3. Federal Que Government 4. Diversity (In of Parties in In the box only.) yed from Court INT: JURY DEF	ndicate Citizenship tem III) 3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes X No	Citize Citize Citize Foreig	Place an X in one born of This State	r for plaintiff and one for de for DEF incorporated or of Business in the 2 2 incorporated an of Business in Ar 3 3 Foreign Nation ansferred from Another trict (Specify) 6.	efendant) Principal Place his State ad Principal Place nother State Multi- District tigation S	PTF DEF 4 5 6		
Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government Defendant IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one X 1. Original Proceeding 2. Remov State C V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite to	Government 4. Diversity (hof Parties in hor Partie	ndicate Citizenship tem III) 3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes X No e under which you are	Citize Citize Citize Foreig 4. R R N	n of This State n of Another State n or Subject of a gn Country einstated or	incorporated or of Business in the large of Business in the large of Business in the large of Business in Ar of Business in the large of Business in Ar of Bus	Principal Place nis State ad Principal Place nother State Multi- District tigation S	6 0		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one 2. Remove State Control of	ved from Court STATE STA	3. Remanded from Appellate Court MAND: X Yes Yes X No e under which you are	4. R R	einstated or 5. Tra eopened 5. Tra O (Check "Yes" or	insferred from Another 6. Lit chick (Specify) Lit chick (Specify) Lit chick (Specify) Complete the composition of the compositi	District tigation plaint.)			
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite to	INT: JURY DEA	MAND: X Yes Yes X No e under which you are	☐ N	o (Check "Yes" or MONEY DEMA	insterred from Another Littict (Specify) Littict	District tigation plaint.)	ess diversity.		
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	an X in one bo	x only).							
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CO		IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY			
375 Faise Claims ACC	10 Insurance 120 Marine	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability		462 Naturalization Application 465 Other	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	820 Copyright			
410 Antitrust	30 Miller Act	290 All Other Rea	1	Immigration Actions TORTS	☐ 5entence ☐ 530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY			
430 banks and banking h	140 Negotiable Instrument	TORTS		ERSONAL PROPERTY	535 Death Penalty	B61 HIA (1395)			
450 Commerce/ICC	150 Recovery of Overpayment &	PERSONAL INJUR 310 Airplane	Y	370 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung	g (923)		
460 Deportation	Enforcement of Judgment	315 Airplane		371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIW	1000		
470 Racketeer Influ-	151 Medicare Act	Product Liability 320 Assault, Libe	8	380 Other Personal Property Damage	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	864 SSID Title			
	152 Recovery of	Slander 330 Fed. Employe	nes'	385 Property Damage	560 Civil Detainee	865 RSI (405 (g))			
I	Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability Liability	-	Product Liability BANKRUPTCY	Conditions of Confinement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or			
850 Securities/Com-	153 Recovery of	340 Marine 345 Marine Prode	er F	422 Appeal 28	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Defendant)			
890 Other Statutory	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders'	Liability 350 Motor Vehic	_	USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	871 IRS-Third 7609	Party 26 US		
	Suits	355 Motor Vehic Product Liability		CIVIL RIGHTS	690 Other				
☐ Matters ☐ (190 Other Contract	☐ 360 Other Person Injury	ial L	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards				
	195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 362 Personal Inju Med Malpratice		442 Employment 443 Housing/	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations				
	196 Franchise	365 Personal Inju Product Liability	ry-	Accomodations	740 Railway Labor Act				
899 Admin Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	L	445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with Disabilities-Other	751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation				
State Statutes	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		448 Education	791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act				

CV-71 (11/13)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from state court?			STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF: INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:						CD IS:	
Yes X No	Ī	Los Angeles					Western			
f "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check	k the	☐ Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, or San	Luis Obispo	>			Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to			ange				Southern			
Question D, below, and skip to Section	IX.	Ri	verside or San Bernardino				Eastern			
Question 8: Is the United States, or	one of		Make Heited States or or	on of its and	nries or	employees, is a party, is it:				
its agencies or employees, a party to this			ir the United States, or or	ie or its age	111,103 (01	emproyees sa party, arti	INITIAL			
action?		A PLAINTIFF? Then check the box below for the county in				A DEFENDANT?		DIVISION IN CACD IS:		
Yes X No						check the box below for the co				
f"no, " go to Question C. If "yes," chec	k the	which the majority of DEFENDANTS reside. Los Angeles				Angeles		Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		1	entura, Santa Barbara, or San	Luis	□ Ven	tura, Santa Barbara, or San	Luis	Western		
Question D, below, and skip to Section			bispo range			nge		South	ern	
	-	_	verside or San Bernardino		Rive	erside or San Bernardino		Eastern		
			Other			Other		Western		
								E E		
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection per row) A. Los Angele County		geles Ventura, Santa Barbara, or			C. D. Orange County Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		E. Outside the Central District of California		Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:								×		
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:				×						
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose;]				×					
mujorsy or Cumin weeks.										
C.1. Is either of the following true?	If so, ch	neck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	either of	the following true? If so	, check the o	ne that applies:		
2 or more answers in Colum	nn C			×	2 or n	nore answers in Column D				
only 1 answer in Column C	and no a	answer	s in Column D		only 1	answer in Column D and	no answers in	Column C		
Your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below.					Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.					
			Your case will			to the				
			Enter "Western" in	response to		on D below.				
							CONTINUCACE			
Question D: Initial Division?						INITIAL DIV	SION IN CACL			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a) IDENTICAL CAS	SES: Has this act	ion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	X NO	☐ YES
If yes, list case numb				
		es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	NO NO	☐ YES
IA(D). HELATED CASE	J. Flave any case	3 Deen previously ned in this court that the related to the present case.	[2]	
If yes, list case numb	ber(s):			
Civil cases are deemed	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:		
(Check all boxes that app	oly) A. Arise f	from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		
	B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or	
	C. For ot	her reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or		
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pr	esent.
X. SIGNATURE OF AT (OR SELF-REPRESENT		: DATE:	-11	414
other namers as required by	law This form an	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplemen proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required prose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instru	pursuant to Loca	I Rule 3-1 is not filed
Key to Statistical codes relat	ting to Social Secur	ity Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	Security Act, as of services und	amended. Also, er the program.
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Hea 923)	ilth and Safety A	ct of 1969. (30 U.S.C.
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of th all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	e Social Security	Act, as amended; plu

863

864

865

DIWW

SSID

RSI